

Multi parameter proximal point algorithms

Oganeditse A. Boikanyo^{a,b,*}, Gheorghe Moroşanu^a

^a*Department of Mathematics and its Applications
Central European University*

Nador u. 9, H-1051 Budapest, Hungary

^b*Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana
Pvt 00704, Gaborone, Botswana*

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to prove a strong convergence result for an algorithm introduced by Y. Yao and M. A. Noor in 2008 under a new condition on one of the parameters involved. Further, convergence properties of a generalized proximal point algorithm which was introduced in [5] are analyzed. The results in this paper are proved under the general condition that errors tend to zero in norm. These results extend and improve several previous results on the regularization method and the proximal point algorithm.

Keywords: Firmly nonexpansive operator, maximal monotone operator, nonexpansive map, proximal point algorithm, resolvent operator

2000 MSC: 47H05, 47J25, 47H09

1. Introduction

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Recall that a map $T : H \rightarrow H$ is called nonexpansive if for every $x, y \in H$ we have $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$. In the case when $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq a\|x - y\|$ holds for some $a \in (0, 1)$, then T is called a contraction with Lipschitz constant a . The map T is called firmly nonexpansive if for any $x, y \in H$,

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle.$$

Obviously, firmly nonexpansive mappings are nonexpansive. An operator $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$ is said to be monotone if

$$\langle x - x', y - y' \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, y), (x', y') \in G(A).$$

That is, its graph $G(A) = \{(x, y) \in H \times H : x \in D(A), y \in Ax\}$ is a monotone subset of $H \times H$. An operator A is called maximal monotone if in addition to being monotone, its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. In nonlinear analysis and convex optimization, an important and interesting problem is to

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: boikanyoa@gmail.com (Oganeditse A. Boikanyo), morosanug@ceu.hu (Gheorghe Moroşanu)

¹Dedicated to Professor Viorel Barbu on the occasion of his 70th birthday

find zeroes of maximal monotone operators. From the view point of fixed point theory, the problem

$$\text{find an } x \in D(A) \text{ such that } 0 \in A(x), \quad (1)$$

is equivalent to find fixed point of the firmly nonexpansive mapping $(I + \lambda A)^{-1} : H \rightarrow H$, the so called resolvent of A for all $\lambda > 0$. Indeed, many problems that involve convexity can be formulated as finding zeroes of maximal monotone operators. Such problems include, but are not limited to convex minimization, variational inequalities and concave-convex mini-max problems.

One of the most popular and powerful solution techniques for solving nonlinear problems is the so called proximal point algorithm which was initially suggested by Martinet [13] and later extensively developed by Rockafellar [16]. The main idea of this method is to replace the original problem (1) by a sequence of regularized problems

$$\text{find an } x \in D(A) \text{ such that } 0 \in A(x) + \beta_n^{-1}(x - x_n), \quad (2)$$

so that at each step, problem (2) has a unique solution $x := x_{n+1}$. Here (β_n) is a sequence of positive real numbers and $x_0 \in H$ is a given starting point. Accordingly, x_{n+1} solves problem (2) if and only if

$$x_{n+1} = J_{\beta_n} x_n, \quad \text{where } J_{\beta_n} = (I + \beta_n A)^{-1}.$$

In general, equation (2) is solved only approximately, in which case, x_{n+1} is the inexact solution of problem (2), *i.e.*,

$$x_{n+1} = J_{\beta_n} x_n + e_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3)$$

where (e_n) is considered to be the sequence of computational errors. Rockafellar [16] proved weak convergence of algorithm 3 to an element in the fixed point set $F(J_c) = \{x \in H \mid J_c x = x\} = A^{-1}(0)$, for all $c > 0$, provided that this set is not empty, with the conditions $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$ and

$$(E1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty.$$

Rockafellar also proved strong convergence if in addition, the operator A^{-1} is Lipschitz continuous at zero (with modulus $a \geq 0$), that is, $A^{-1}(0) = \{y\}$, and for some $\tau > 0$, $\|z - y\| \leq a \|z'\|$ whenever $(z, z') \in G(A)$ and $\|z'\| \leq \tau$. Other relevant references concerning infinite products of resolvents with errors are [6, 14]. Güler's example [9] (see also [1]) which revealed that the PPA fails in general to converge strongly, gave rise to the natural question(s): how can the PPA be modified so as to obtain strong convergence? Or is it possible to construct/design strongly convergent proximal point algorithms? There are several attempts made so far in order to address the above question(s). One such attempt was made in [17] followed, for example by [11, 15]. Another effort was made independently by Xu [20], and Kamimura and Takahashi [10]. They proposed the following inexact PPA which is simpler than the one obtained by Solodov and Svaiter [17]

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{\beta_n} x_n + e_n, \quad \text{for any } u, x_0 \in H \text{ and all } n \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

where $(\alpha_n) \subset (0, 1)$ and $(\beta_n) \subset (0, \infty)$. In fact, their version of the PPA corresponds to the case when $u = x_0$, the initial starting point of the trajectory generated by (4). They proved a strong convergence result when (e_n) satisfies (E1). The case when (e_n) is not summable and u not necessarily the starting point of the PPA was treated in [2]. A strong convergence result was obtained in [3] by further weakening the error condition in [2]. It should however be mentioned that not as in [2], such a condition depends on the sequence of parameters (α_n) . The precise condition on the sequence of error terms (e_n) was

$$(E2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|e_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0.$$

Another algorithm of interest which generates strongly convergent sequences is the regularization method which was introduced by Lehdili and Moudafi [12], and extended by Xu [21]. Given $x_0, u \in H$, this method according to Xu generates a sequence (x_n) iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = J_{\beta_n}(\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + e_n), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

The authors have observed in [3] (see also [4]) that there is a strong connection between the proximal point algorithm (4) and the regularization method (5). More precisely, they noted that taking

$$v_n = \frac{x_n - \alpha_{n-1}u - e_{n-1}}{1 - \alpha_{n-1}},$$

equation (4) reduces to

$$v_{n+1} = J_{\beta_n}(\alpha_{n-1}u + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})v_n + e_{n-1}), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (6)$$

and for $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ and $e_n \rightarrow 0$, (x_n) defined by (4) converges if and only if (v_n) does. Thus (4) and (6) are equivalent. The regularization method was further extended [5] to

$$v_{n+1} = J_{\beta_n}(\alpha_{n-1}u + \lambda_{n-1}v_n + \gamma_{n-1}Tv_n + e_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

where $T : H \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive map, $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$, and $\beta_n \in (0, \infty)$. Under appropriate conditions on the control parameters $\alpha_n, \lambda_n, \gamma_n$ and β_n , it was shown [5] that (v_n) generated by (7) converges strongly to $P_{A^{-1}(0)}u$, provided that $\emptyset \neq A^{-1}(0) \subset F(T)$, where $F(T) = \{x \in H \mid Tx = x\}$ is the fixed point set of T .

Recently, Yao and Noor [22] proposed an algorithm which is defined by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \lambda_n x_n + \gamma_n J_{\beta_n} x_n + e_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

where again $u, x_0 \in H$ are given, $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$, and $\beta_n \in (0, \infty)$. They showed that (x_n) is strongly convergent to $P_{A^{-1}(0)}u$, provided that $\emptyset \neq A^{-1}(0)$, $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$,

$$(C1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n) = 0,$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$. We note that for unbounded (β_n) , condition (C1) fails to satisfy the natural choice $\beta_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Yao and Noor's result brings us

to the following question: Does [22, Theorem 3.3] remain true if (λ_n) is assumed to be bounded from above away from 1 and/or (β_n) satisfies weaker conditions which include choices such as $\beta_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

The purpose of this paper is to address the above question and to discuss the strong convergence of sequences generated by algorithm (7). Our main result is Theorem 2 which is concerned with the following conditions: $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$ and

$$(C2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} = 1.$$

2. Preliminaries

Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonexpansive map, and let $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone operator. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define a map $f_n : H \rightarrow H$ by the rule $x \mapsto J_{\beta_n}(\alpha_n u + \lambda_n x + \gamma_n T x + e_n)$, where $\beta_n > 0$, (α_n) , (λ_n) and (γ_n) are sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$, and $u, e_n \in H$ are given. Then one can easily check that f_n is a contraction. Therefore it follows from the Banach contraction principle that f_n has a unique fixed point z_n , say. In other words,

$$z_n = J_{\beta_n}(\alpha_n u + \lambda_n z_n + \gamma_n T z_n + e_n), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

We prove the convergence result associated with the sequence (z_n) .

Lemma 1. *Let $\beta_n \in (0, \infty)$ and let $\alpha_n, \lambda_n, \gamma_n \in (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\emptyset \neq A^{-1}(0) =: F \subset F(T)$, where $T : H \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive map, and either $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$ or $\|e_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$. Then for any fixed $u, x_0 \in H$, the sequence (z_n) generated by (9) converges strongly to $P_F u$, the projection of u on F .*

Proof. To show that (z_n) is bounded, we first note that if $(\|e_n\|/\alpha_n)$ is bounded, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\|u - p\| + \frac{\|e_n\|}{\alpha_n} \right) \leq C.$$

For every $p \in F$, we have from (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\| &\leq \|\alpha_n(u - p + e_n/\alpha_n) + \lambda_n(z_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tz_n - p)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \left(\|u - p\| + \frac{\|e_n\|}{\alpha_n} \right) + \lambda_n \|z_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|Tz_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\| + \alpha_n C, \end{aligned}$$

where the first two inequalities follow from the fact that J_{β_n} and T are nonexpansive. Therefore (z_n) is bounded.

Let $\omega_w((z_n))$ be the weak ω -limit set of (z_n) . That is,

$$\omega_w((z_n)) = \{y \in H \mid z_{n_k} \rightharpoonup y \text{ for some subsequence } (z_{n_k}) \text{ of } (z_n)\}.$$

We claim that $\omega_w((z_n)) \subset F$. Let (z_{n_j}) be a subsequence of (z_n) converging weakly to some z_∞ . Since (λ_{n_j}) is bounded, it has a convergent subsequence, again denoted (λ_{n_j}) . There are two possibilities here: either $\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow 1$ or $\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow \theta \in [0, 1)$. In the first case, we derive from

$$Az_{n_j} \ni \frac{\alpha_{n_j}u + (\lambda_{n_j} - 1)z_{n_j} + \gamma_{n_j}Tz_{n_j} + e_{n_j}}{\beta_{n_j}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (10)$$

that $z_\infty \in F$. In the second case, we note that from (9), we have

$$(1 - \lambda_n)\langle z_n - Tz_n, z_n - p \rangle + \beta_n \langle Az_n, z_n - p \rangle = \alpha_n \langle u - Tz_n + e_n/\alpha_n, z_n - p \rangle,$$

where $p \in F$. Using the monotonicity of A , we have for some $M > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n M &\geq 2(1 - \lambda_n)\langle z_n - Tz_n, z_n - p \rangle \\ &= (1 - \lambda_n)(\|z_n - Tz_n\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|Tz_n - Tp\|^2) \\ &\geq (1 - \lambda_n)\|z_n - Tz_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in the above estimate, with $n = n_j$, we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_j} - Tz_{n_j}\| = 0.$$

Again from (10), we get $z_\infty \in F$, showing that $\omega_w((z_n)) \subset F$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence (z_{n_k}) of (z_n) converging weakly to $z \in F$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - P_F u, z_n - P_F u \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - P_F u, z_{n_k} - P_F u \rangle = \langle u - P_F u, z - P_F u \rangle \leq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - P_F u\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n^2 \left(\|u - P_F u\| + \frac{\|e_n\|}{\alpha_n} \right)^2 + (\lambda_n \|z_n - P_F u\| + \gamma_n \|Tz_n - P_F u\|)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \left\langle u - P_F u + \frac{e_n}{\alpha_n}, \lambda_n(z_n - P_F u) + \gamma_n(Tz_n - P_F u) \right\rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|z_n - P_F u\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \left(\|u - P_F u\| + \frac{\|e_n\|}{\alpha_n} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \left\langle u - P_F u + \frac{e_n}{\alpha_n}, (1 - \alpha_n)(z_n - P_F u) + \gamma_n(Tz_n - z_n) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the nonexpansivity of T . Hence for some positive constant C^* ,

$$(2 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - P_F u\|^2 \leq \alpha_n C^* + 2 \left\langle u - P_F u + \frac{e_n}{\alpha_n}, (z_n - P_F u) + \gamma_n(Tz_n - z_n) \right\rangle.$$

Passing to the limit in the above inequality, we deduce the strong convergence of (z_n) to $P_F u$ as claimed. We leave it to the reader to verify the result in the case when $(\|e_n\|) \in \ell^1$. ■

We note that Lemma 1 above contains [4, Theorem 1] as a special case.

We next recall some lemmas which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2 (Suzuki [18]). *Let (x_n) and (y_n) be bounded sequences in a real Banach space and let (ρ_n) be a sequence in $(0, 1)$, with $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = \rho_n y_n + (1 - \rho_n)x_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.*

Lemma 3 (Goebel and Kirk [7], Goebel and Reich [8]). *A map $T : H \rightarrow H$ is firmly nonexpansive if and only if $2T - I$ (where I is the identity map) is nonexpansive.*

Lemma 4 (Xu [20]). *Let (s_n) be a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying*

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - a_n)s_n + a_n b_n + c_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where (a_n) , (b_n) and (c_n) satisfy the conditions: (i) $(a_n) \subset [0, 1]$, with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$, (ii) $c_n \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$, and (iii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \leq 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

Concerning the boundedness of the sequences (v_n) and (x_n) defined by (7) and (8), we have the following two lemmas, respectively. The proof of Lemma 6 is contained in the proof of [5, Theorem 5].

Lemma 5 (cf. [5]). *Let $\beta_n \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$ for all n . Assume that $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone operator with $F := A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, and either $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$ or $(\|e_n\|/\alpha_n)$ is bounded. Then for any fixed $u, x_0 \in H$, the sequence (x_n) defined by (8) is bounded.*

Lemma 6 (cf. [5]). *If in addition to the assumptions of Lemma 5, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\emptyset \neq A^{-1}(0) \subset F(T)$, where $T : H \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive map, then for any fixed $u, v_1 \in H$, the sequence (v_n) defined by (7) is bounded.*

We will need the following identity, the proof of which is well known and can easily be reproduced, see, e.g., [4].

Lemma 7 (Resolvent Identity). *For any $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $x \in H$, we have*

$$J_\beta x = J_\gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} x + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \right) J_\beta x \right).$$

We conclude this section with a lemma known in the literature as the subdifferential inequality. Its proof is immediate.

Lemma 8. *For all $x, y \in H$, we have*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|y\|^2 + 2\langle x, x + y \rangle.$$

3. Main results

Theorem 1. Assume that $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone operator and $\emptyset \neq A^{-1}(0) =: F \subset F(T)$, where $T : H \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive map. Fix $u, v_1 \in H$, and let (v_n) be the sequence generated by algorithm (7) under the conditions: (i) $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, (ii) either (E1) or (E2), (iii) $\beta_n \in (0, \infty)$ with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$,

$$(C3) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{n-1}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_n\beta_n} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (C4) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n} \left(\gamma_n - \frac{\gamma_{n-1}\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right) = 0.$$

Then (v_n) converges strongly to $P_F u$.

Proof. According to Lemma 6, the sequence (v_n) is bounded. Denote

$$w_n = J_{\beta_n} (\alpha_{n-1}u + \lambda_{n-1}w_n + \gamma_{n-1}Tw_n), \quad (11)$$

then from Lemma 1 we have that $w_n \rightarrow P_F u$. Now it follows from (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n+1} - w_{n+1}\| &\leq \|v_{n+1} - w_n\| + \|w_n - w_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \lambda_{n-1} \|v_n - w_n\| + \gamma_{n-1} \|Tv_n - Tw_n\| + \|e_{n-1}\| + \|w_n - w_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \|v_n - w_n\| + \|e_{n-1}\| + \|w_n - w_{n+1}\|, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the last inequality follows from the nonexpansivity of the map T . Using the resolvent identity, we note that (11) can be written as

$$w_n = J_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_n} (\alpha_{n-1}u + \lambda_{n-1}w_n + \gamma_{n-1}Tw_n) + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_n} \right) w_n \right),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the greatest lower bound of (β_n) . This together with the fact that the resolvent operator J_ε is nonexpansive gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n+1} - w_n\| &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_{n+1}} \right) \|w_{n+1} - w_n\| + \frac{\varepsilon\lambda_n}{\beta_{n+1}} \|w_{n+1} - w_n\| + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma_n}{\beta_{n+1}} \|Tw_{n+1} - Tw_n\| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_n}{\beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_{n-1}}{\beta_n} \right| \|u - w_n\| + \left| \frac{\varepsilon\gamma_n}{\beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon\gamma_{n-1}}{\beta_n} \right| \|Tw_n - w_n\| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_n}{\beta_{n+1}} \right) \|w_{n+1} - w_n\| + \left| \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_n}{\beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_{n-1}}{\beta_n} \right| K \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\varepsilon\gamma_n}{\beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon\gamma_{n-1}}{\beta_n} \right| M, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants K and M . This last estimate reduces to

$$\|w_{n+1} - w_n\| \leq \left| 1 - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_n\beta_n} \right| K + \left| \frac{\gamma_n}{\alpha_n} - \frac{\gamma_{n-1}\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_n\beta_n} \right| M. \quad (13)$$

Using this last inequality in (12) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n+1} - w_{n+1}\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \|v_n - w_n\| + \|e_{n-1}\| + \left| 1 - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_n\beta_n} \right| K \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \left| \gamma_n - \frac{\gamma_{n-1}\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right| M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from Lemma 4, we get that $\|v_n - w_n\| \rightarrow 0$, which in turn implies that $v_n \rightarrow P_F u$. \blacksquare

Example 1. Clearly, the sequences (α_n) , (β_n) and (γ_n) defined by $\alpha_n = 1/\sqrt{n+1}$, $\beta_n = 1 + n^{-1}$ and $\gamma_n = 1/(n+1)$ for $n \geq 2$ satisfy the Conditions (C3) and (C4).

Remark 1. A result similar to the above theorem was proved in [4] for $T = I$, the identity operator, and under the additional assumption $\beta_{n+1} \geq \alpha_n\beta_n$. Therefore, Theorem 1 is a generalization and improvement of [4, Theorem 4]. Note that [21, Theorem 3.2] which is similar in its nature to [4, Theorem 4] can also be generalized in the same way.

We conclude this section by proving a strong convergence result associated with the newly introduced condition (C2). The next result is a refinement of [19, Theorem 4]. It also extends [19, Theorem 4] to general errors. Although (C2) is stronger than the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{\beta_n} \right) = 0 \quad (14)$$

in [5, Theorem 1] (and [5, Theorem 2]), the main advance in Theorem 2 below is that strong convergence of the sequence (x_n) is proved under weaker conditions on both (α_n) and (λ_n) than those of [5, Theorem 1] (and [5, Theorem 2]). For the comparison of conditions (C2) and (14), see Remark 3 below.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone operator and $F := A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Fix $u, x_0 \in H$, and let (x_n) be the sequence generated by algorithm (8) under the conditions: (i) $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, (ii) either (E1) or (E2), (iii) $\lambda_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha_n + \lambda_n + \gamma_n = 1$ with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n > 0$ and (iv) $\beta_n \in (0, \infty)$ with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$ and (C2). Then (x_n) converges strongly to $P_F u$.*

Proof. From Lemma 5, we know that (x_n) is bounded. Denote

$$y_n := T_n x_n + \mu_n(u - x_n) + \sigma_n,$$

where $T_n = 2J_{\beta_n} - I$, $\mu_n = 2\alpha_n/\gamma_n$ and $\sigma_n = 2e_n/\gamma_n$. Obviously, the sequence (y_n) is bounded (since (x_n) is so), and from the definition of T_n , (8) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n u + \lambda_n x_n + \frac{\gamma_n}{2} x_n + \frac{\gamma_n}{2} T_n x_n + e_n \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_n}{2} \right) x_n + \frac{\gamma_n}{2} \left(T_n x_n + \frac{2\alpha_n}{\gamma_n} (u - x_n) + \frac{2e_n}{\gamma_n} \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_n}{2} \right) x_n + \frac{\gamma_n}{2} y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since T_n is nonexpansive, we have for some positive constant M

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq \|T_{n+1}x_{n+1} - T_nx_n\| + \mu_{n+1}\|u - x_{n+1}\| + \mu_n\|u - x_n\| + \|\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n\| \\
&\leq \|T_{n+1}x_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_n\| + \|T_{n+1}x_n - T_nx_n\| + (\mu_{n+1} + \mu_n)M \\
&\quad + \|\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2\|J_{\beta_{n+1}}x_n - J_{\beta_n}x_n\| + (\mu_{n+1} + \mu_n)M + \|\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n\| \\
&= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2\left\|J_{\beta_{n+1}}x_n - J_{\beta_{n+1}}\left(\frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}x_n + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}\right)J_{\beta_n}x_n\right)\right\| \\
&\quad + (\mu_{n+1} + \mu_n)M + \|\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2\left|1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}\right|\|x_n - J_{\beta_n}x_n\| \\
&\quad + (\mu_{n+1} + \mu_n)M + \|\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n\|, \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality follows from the application of the resolvent identity. Rearranging terms in (15) and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|\} \leq 0.$$

Therefore applying Lemma 2 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{16}$$

Note that since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n > 0$, there exists $\delta \in [0, 1)$ such that $\lambda_n \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - J_{\beta_n}x_n\| &\leq \alpha_n\|u - J_{\beta_n}x_n + e_n/\alpha_n\| + \lambda_n\|x_n - J_{\beta_n}x_n\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|u - J_{\beta_n}x_n + e_n/\alpha_n\| + \delta(\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - J_{\beta_n}x_n\|),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - J_{\beta_n}x_n\| = 0. \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, we observe that if $\beta > 0$ is the greatest lower bound of (β_n) , then the application of the resolvent identity yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_{\beta_n}x_n - J_{\beta}x_n\| &\leq \left\|\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_n}\right)(J_{\beta_n}x_n - x_n)\right\| \\
&\leq \|J_{\beta_n}x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, and noticing (16) and (17), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_{\beta_n}x_n - J_{\beta}x_n\| = 0. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, from (16), (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - J_\beta x_n\| &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - J_{\beta_n} x_n\| + \|J_{\beta_n} x_n - J_\beta x_n\|) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now let (x_{n_k}) be a subsequence of (x_n) converging weakly to some z . Then for some positive constant K ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle x_{n_k} - J_\beta z, z - J_\beta z \rangle &= \|x_{n_k} - J_\beta z\|^2 + \|z - J_\beta z\|^2 - \|x_{n_k} - z\|^2 \\ &\leq (\|x_{n_k} - J_\beta x_{n_k}\| + \|x_{n_k} - z\|)^2 + \|z - J_\beta z\|^2 - \|x_{n_k} - z\|^2 \\ &\leq K\|x_{n_k} - J_\beta x_{n_k}\| + \|z - J_\beta z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in the above inequality as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and noticing (19), we arrive at $z \in A^{-1}(0)$. Hence for a subsequence (x_{n_j}) of (x_n) converging weakly to a point x_∞ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - P_F u, x_n - P_F u \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - P_F u, x_{n_j} - P_F u \rangle = \langle u - P_F u, x_\infty - P_F u \rangle \leq 0.$$

Finally, from Lemma 8 and equation (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - P_F u\| &\leq (\lambda_n \|x_n - P_F u\| + \gamma_n \|J_{\beta_n} x_n - P_F u\|)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \left\langle u - P_F u + \frac{e_n}{\alpha_n}, x_{n+1} - P_F u \right\rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - P_F u\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \left\langle u - P_F u + \frac{e_n}{\alpha_n}, x_{n+1} - P_F u \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 4 we get strong convergence of (x_n) to $P_F u$. In the case when the error sequence (e_n) satisfies condition (E1), then we get from Lemma 8 and equation (8)

$$\|x_{n+1} - P_F u\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - P_F u\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - P_F u, x_{n+1} - P_F u \rangle + \|e_n\| C,$$

for some $C > 0$. As before, strong convergence of (x_n) to $P_F u$ can be derived. \blacksquare

Remark 2. Not as in [5, Theorem 4] and [22, Theorem 3.3], we do not require that γ_n be bounded above away from 1. In addition, we have used the weaker Condition (C2) instead of (C1). Therefore, Theorem 2 improves significantly the results in [5, 22].

Remark 3. Note that for the sequence (β_n) satisfying $\beta_n \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\beta_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{\beta_n} \right| = \frac{1}{\beta_{n+1}} \left| 1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| 1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right|$$

implying that the Condition (14) is weaker than the Condition (C2) of the preceding theorem. Indeed, one can check that the sequence

$$\beta_n = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 3n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

satisfies (14) but not (C2). These two conditions are however equivalent if (β_n) is bounded (both from below away from zero and from above).

Acknowledgment: Many thanks are due to the referee for the useful comments and for indicating additional references related to our paper.

References

- [1] H. H. Bauschke, E. Matoušková and S. Reich, *Projection and proximal point methods: convergence results and counterexamples*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 56 (2004), 715-738.
- [2] O. A. Boikanyo and G. Moroşanu, *Modified Rockafellar's algorithms*, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* (5) 13 (2009), 101-122.
- [3] ———, *A proximal point algorithm converging strongly for general errors*, *Optim. Lett.* 4 (2010), 635-641.
- [4] ———, *Inexact Halpern-type proximal point algorithms*, *J. Glob. Optim.*, in press.
- [5] ———, *Four parameter proximal point algorithms*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 544-555.
- [6] R. E. Bruck and S. Reich, *Nonexpansive projections and resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces*, *Houston J. Math.* 3 (1977), 459-470.
- [7] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990).
- [8] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [9] O. Güler, *On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 29 (1991), 403-419.
- [10] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, *Approximating solutions of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces*, *J. Approx. Theory* 106 (2000), 226-240.
- [11] ———, *Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space*, *SIAM J. Optim.* 13 (2002), 938-945.
- [12] N. Lehdili and A. Moudafi, *Combining the proximal algorithm and Tikhonov regularization*, *Optimization* 37 (1996), 239-252.
- [13] B. Martinet, *Régularisation d'inéquations variationnelles par approximations successives*, *Rev. Française Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle* 4 (1970), Ser. R-3, 154-158.
- [14] O. Nevanlinna and S. Reich, *Strong convergence of contraction semigroups and of iterative methods for accretive operators in Banach spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* 32 (1979), 44-58.
- [15] S. Reich and Sabach, *A strong convergence theorem for a proximal-type algorithm in reflexive Banach spaces*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 10 (2009), 471-485.
- [16] R. T. Rockafellar, *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 14 (1976), 877-898.

- [17] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter, *Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in a Hilbert space*, Math. Program. Ser. A 14 (2000), 189-202.
- [18] T. Suzuki, *Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2005), 103-123.
- [19] F. Wang, *A note on the regularized proximal point algorithm*, J. Glob. Optim., in press.
- [20] H. K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002), 240-256.
- [21] ———, *A regularization method for the proximal point algorithm*, J. Glob. Optim. 36 (2006), 115-125.
- [22] Y. Yao and M. A. Noor, *On convergence criteria of generalized proximal point algorithms*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 217 (2008), 46-55.